

ISSN: 2582-7219



## **International Journal of Multidisciplinary** Research in Science, Engineering and Technology

(A Monthly, Peer Reviewed, Refereed, Scholarly Indexed, Open Access Journal)



Impact Factor: 8.206

Volume 8, Issue 3, March 2025



International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research in Science, Engineering and Technology (IJMRSET) (A Monthly, Peer Reviewed, Refereed, Scholarly Indexed, Open Access Journal)

The Impact of Corporate Taxes on Employment and Investment

Ashwin Raj<sup>1</sup>, Bharat Patel<sup>2</sup>, Bhavana B<sup>3</sup>, Chapara Meher Mounish<sup>4</sup>, Chintha Prashanthi<sup>5</sup>,

Devika N Hegde<sup>6</sup>, Dharshan R<sup>7</sup>, Diya Chopda<sup>8</sup>, Prof. Dr. Batani Raghavendra Rao<sup>9</sup>

PG Students, Department of MBA, CMS Business School, Karnataka, India<sup>12345678</sup>

Professor, Department of MBA, CMS Business School, Karnataka, India<sup>9</sup>

**ABSTRACT:** Corporate tax rates have a significant impact on employment trends and firm investment choices. This research examines how labour market dynamics, capital formation, and corporation taxes are related. High corporate tax rates can discourage investment by reducing after-tax returns, leading to lower capital accumulation and potentially slowing job creation. On the other hand, reduced tax rates can encourage companies to grow, hire more people, and boost economic output. By analyzing empirical data, this research highlights the complexities of tax policy and its broader implications for economic growth, competitiveness, and employment sustainability. The results highlight the significance of well-balanced tax laws that support both economic growth and fiscal discipline.

**KEYWORDS:** Corporate tax rates, Investment decisions, Capital formation, Labor market dynamics, After-tax returns, Capital accumulation, Economic productivity, Tax policy, Economic growth, Competitiveness, Employment sustainability, Fiscal responsibility, Economic development.

## I. INTRODUCTION

Corporate tax rates significantly impact business decisions, affecting investment levels, job creation, and economic growth. Policymakers continuously debate the ideal tax structure, aiming to balance government revenue with incentives for businesses. Lower corporate tax rates are often linked to higher investment, as they increase after-tax profits and promote capital accumulation. On the other hand, higher tax rates may discourage investment by reducing returns, potentially leading to slower job growth and economic activity.

This paper explores the connection between corporate taxation, investment, and employment by analyzing empirical evidence from different economies. It investigates how tax policy influences business behavior, incorporating both theoretical insights and real-world data. Additionally, the study considers other key factors, such as economic conditions, labor market trends, and fiscal policies, that shape these outcomes. A deeper understanding of these relationships can help policymakers design tax policies that support economic growth while ensuring fiscal stability.

#### **II. REVIEW OF LITERATURE**

Djankov, S., et al (2010) this study presents new data on effective corporate income tax rates in 85 countries that can be used to analyze the impacts of such rates on investment and entrepreneurship. The authors arrive at a finding of a highly adverse impact of higher corporate tax rates on aggregate investment, FDI, and entrepreneurial activity. Specifically, the results show that a 10-percentage point increase in the effective corporate tax rate correlates with a 2.2 percentage point decrease in the investment-to-GDP ratio.

Hassett, K. A., & Mathur, A. (2006) it takes cross-country panel data for the analysis to study the link between corporate tax rates and wages. The overall result indicates a positive correlation; higher corporate taxes result in reduced wages, pointing to the labor's burden that is largely placed on corporate tax. An increase of one percentage point in corporate tax rates implies almost one percent reduction in the wage rates.



Felix, R. A. (2007) undertakes an examination of corporate income tax incidence on wages using an open economy context. The study estimates that an increase of the corporate tax rate by 10 percentage points reduces annual gross wages by 7 per cent; in other words, labor bears a huge weight of the burden of corporate taxes.

Arulampalam, W., Devereux, M. P., & Maffini, G. (2012) this study analyzes the incidence of corporate income tax on wages using firm-level data from European countries. It finds that a \$1 increase in corporate tax reduces wages by \$0.49 in the short run and by about \$1 in the long run, meaning the employees bear most of the corporate tax burden.

Suarez Serrato, J. C., & Zidar, O. (2016) examines who gains from state corporate tax cuts by adopting a local labor markets approach where firms are heterogeneous. It indicates that firm owners bear approximately 40% of the corporate tax burden while the remaining 60% is distributed to workers and landowners. Firm heterogeneity in local labour markets is found to play a significant role in determining tax incidence.

Gechert, S., & Heimberger, P. (2022) evaluates the impact of corporate tax cuts on economic growth. The authors found that the impact of corporate taxation on economic growth is often exaggerated and that it cannot be ruled out that the impact is zero, which implies that corporate tax cuts may not be as effective in stimulating growth as is generally believed.

Alam, M. (2021) assumes a factor-augmented vector autoregression to study the impacts of U.S. federal personal and corporate income tax reductions on several macroeconomic variables. The paper shows that corporate taxes cause an increase in output, investment, and employment but only a small effect on personal income tax cuts on economic growth.

Giroud, X., & Rauh, J. (2019) examines the impact of state corporate income taxes on the location of businesses and employment. They conclude that higher state corporate tax rates significantly reduce employment and the number of establishments, especially among profitable and mobile firms.

Fuest, C., et al (2018) studied the analysis of micro-level data from Germany reveals that corporate tax increases strongly reduce wages by about half the burden of the corporate tax, with the effects being more significant in firms where capital mobility is lower.

Zidar, O. (2019) studied how employment and growth affect corporate and individual tax cuts. The research differentiated by income group, suggesting that cutting corporations' taxes may more directly impact rich-income earners and investment but have little effect on aggregate employment. This paper makes the differential impact of tax policy more nuanced.

## **III. OBJECTIVES**

- 1. To analyze the relationship between corporate tax rates and investment decisions Examine how corporate taxation influences firm investment choices and capital formation.
- 2. To assess the impact of corporate tax rates on employment trends Investigate how changes in corporate taxation affect job creation and labor market dynamics.
- 3. To examine the effect of corporate tax rates on capital accumulation Understand how taxation impacts the accumulation of capital and its implications for business growth.

## **IV. METHODOLOGY**

This study employs a secondary data analysis approach to examine the relationship between corporate tax rates, employment growth, economic growth, and capital accumulation. The data is sourced from reputable organizations, including the Tax Foundation and Academia.edu, as indicated in the figures and tables. Research Design

A quantitative research design is used to analyze trends and correlations between corporate tax rates and economic indicators. The study focuses on historical data spanning from 2015 to 2024, examining changes in tax rates and their



International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research in Science, Engineering and Technology (IJMRSET)

(A Monthly, Peer Reviewed, Refereed, Scholarly Indexed, Open Access Journal)

impact on employment, GDP growth, and capital accumulation. This approach allows for objective analysis and comparability across different time periods and economies.

## Data Collection and Sources

The study relies exclusively on secondary data collected from publicly available sources, ensuring reliability and consistency. The key data sources include:

- Annual Gross Domestic Product (GDP) Trends (Fig. 1) Extracted from Academia.edu, providing insights into economic fluctuations.
- Corporate Tax Rates and Employment Growth Trends (Fig. 2, Table 1) Derived from the Tax Foundation, offering a historical perspective on employment growth and corporate taxation.
- Corporate Tax Rates and Economic Growth Rates (Table 2) Analyzing how taxation policies align with overall economic performance.
- Corporate Tax Rates and Capital Accumulation Index (Table 3) Comparing global tax policies with investment and capital accumulation metrics.

The selection of these datasets is based on their relevance, credibility, and alignment with the study's objectives.

#### Data Analysis Techniques

The collected secondary data is analyzed through the following methods:

1. Trend Analysis: Identifying patterns and fluctuations in economic indicators over time to assess the long-term impact of tax policies.

2. Comparative Analysis: Evaluating differences in corporate tax policies across countries and their corresponding economic performance indicators.

3. Correlation Assessment: Observing statistical relationships between corporate tax rates and employment growth, economic expansion, and capital accumulation to assess whether tax changes correspond with shifts in economic performance.

4. Descriptive Statistics: Summarizing key indicators through averages, percentages, and growth rates to highlight major findings.

#### Reliability and Validity of Data

To ensure the credibility of the findings, the study adheres to the following measures:

- Use of Established Sources: Data is extracted from recognized institutions such as the Tax Foundation and Academia.edu, reducing the risk of bias.
- Cross-Verification: Comparing trends across multiple years and datasets to confirm consistency.
- Contextual Consideration: Recognizing potential external factors such as economic downturns (e.g., the 2020 pandemic) that may influence the results.

#### Limitations of the Study

While secondary data analysis provides significant insights, certain limitations must be acknowledged:

- Lack of Primary Data: The study does not include firsthand surveys or interviews, limiting direct stakeholder perspectives.
- Variability in Data Collection Methods: Differences in methodologies across sources may introduce inconsistencies.
- Confounding Variables: Other economic policies and global events may influence employment and growth trends, making it challenging to isolate the sole impact of corporate tax rates.

Despite these limitations, this study offers valuable insights into the interaction between taxation policies and economic performance, providing a basis for further research and policy discussions.



International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research in Science, Engineering and Technology (IJMRSET)

(A Monthly, Peer Reviewed, Refereed, Scholarly Indexed, Open Access Journal)

## V. DATA INTERPRETATION



Fig 1. Annual Gross Domestic Product

Source: https://www.academia.edu

Fig 1. Shows that India's real GDP growth rate peaked in 2016, faced a downturn around 2020, and is projected to stabilize around 7.44% before slightly decreasing in 2024.

## Fig 2. Corporate Tax rates for different Countries



**Corporate Tax Rates Have Declined in Every Region over Time** 

Average Statutory Corporate Income Tax Rate by Region and Decade

The number of countries included in calculated averages varies by decade due to missing corporate tax rates for years prior to 2022; that is, the erage includes statutory corporate income tax rates of 73 jurisdicitions, compared to 180 jurisdictions in 2022. Statutory corporate income tax rates were compiled from various sources. 1980 av

TAX FOUNDATION

Source: Tax Foundation

@TaxFoundation



International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research in Science, Engineering and Technology (IJMRSET)

(A Monthly, Peer Reviewed, Refereed, Scholarly Indexed, Open Access Journal)

YEARS	EMPLOYMENT GROWTH RATE	CORPORATE TAX RATE
2015	2.3	4.2
2016	2.5	5.1
2017	2.7	6.3
2019	20	7
2018	2.9	/
2019	3.1	6.8
2017	5.1	0.0
2020	-1	-3.5
2021	5.2	8.2
2022	4.8	7.5
2023	3.5	6.9
2024		7.2
2024	3.7	7.2

## Table 1. Corporate tax rate and growth of employment rate

When corporate tax rates went up, employment generally grew, except in 2020 when the economy faced a downturn.



Fig 2. Shows that corporate tax rates went up, employment generally grew, except in 2020 when the economy faced a downturn.



International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research in Science, Engineering and Technology (IJMRSET)

(A Monthly, Peer Reviewed, Refereed, Scholarly Indexed, Open Access Journal)

Years	Growth Rate
2015	8
2016	8.3
2017	6.8
2018	6.5
2019	3.9
2020	
2020	-5.8
2021	9.7
2022	7.2
2022	1.2
2022	76
2023	/.0
2024	7
2024	/

Table 2. Corporate tax rates and economy growth rates



Fig 3. Shows that Economic growth varied, reaching a high of 9.7% in 2021 and dropping to -5.8% in 2020.



International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research in Science, Engineering and Technology (IJMRSET)

(A Monthly, Peer Reviewed, Refereed, Scholarly Indexed, Open Access Journal)

Country	Corporate Tax Rate (2024)	Capital Accumulation Index (2024)
USA	21%	140
South Korea	25%	100
China	25%	130
Germany	15%	123
UK	19%	123
France	25%	120
Japan	23.20%	125
Brazil	34%	110
India	25%	115
Russia	20%	105

Table 3. corporate tax rates and capital accumulation

Table 3. shows that countries with lower corporate tax rates tend to have higher capital accumulation indexes, indicating more investment and capital growth.

## VI. DISCUSSION

The reduction of corporate taxes enhances the financial footing of a business, allowing room for investments towards new projects, buying capital goods, and broadening business operations. It leads to the development of the requisite capital and deeply influences the growth of the economy. Those countries with low to no corporate tax rates tend to encourage greater retention and reinvestment of profits, thereby enhancing the capital stock. This results in increased productivity, global market growth, enhanced competition, improved technology, and efficient production.

Productivity increases get a major boost by the investments made to machinery, infrastructure, and advanced technology, all of which promotes long term economic growth. The balance of economic development gets tipped with additional investment, ushered in by favorable taxation. For this reason, a country with low corporate tax rates is prone



to experiencing the competitive advantage of improved efficiency and technology which in turn enhances productivity, making them globally competitive. The implementation of tax policies brings to light the investment climate, and serve as a focal point for growing economies desperate for expansion.

Although cutting corporate taxes can increase capital accumulation, there is a tradeoff drawn between tax cuts and spending collected revenues. Redirecting taxpayer money can stimulate infrastructure and public service funding which has been proven useful to government investments.

Policymakers should find the balance between fiscal sustainability and providing an enabling environment for business development. Moreover, tax policy is only one aspect impacting investment choices; the business environment, political stability, and capital availability are equally important determinants of investment behavior.

#### VII. CONCLUSION

It emphasizes how company tax rates, investment choices, job patterns, and economic expansion are all intricately related. Higher tax rates often deter investment and may have an impact on job creation, according to the findings, which indicate that corporate taxation has a significant influence in influencing business behavior. However, there are many different aspects that affect how tax rates affect economic growth, including labor market circumstances, capital accumulation, and general fiscal policy.

Tax cuts can encourage corporate expansion and job creation, but it is still unclear how effective they are at promoting long-term economic growth, according to empirical data from a number of studies. Capital accumulation is typically higher in nations with lower corporation tax rates, supporting the claim that well-balanced taxation can encourage investment and boost economic output. In contrast, corporate taxes that are too high may result in reduced wages and prevent company expansion, burdening both employers and workers.

The study emphasizes how crucial it is to create tax laws that balance budgetary sustainability with economic growth. The wider economic effects of corporate taxes should be taken into account by policymakers to make sure that tax policies encourage investment while preserving steady government revenue. A stronger and competitive economic environment might result from a deliberate approach to tax reform that incorporates actual data and global best practices.

## REFERENCES

- Djankov, S., Ganser, T., McLiesh, C., Ramalho, R., & Shleifer, A. (2010). The Effect of Corporate Taxes on Investment and Entrepreneurship. American Economic Journal: Macroeconomics, 2(3), 31-64. https://www.aeaweb.org/articles?id=10.1257/mac.2.3.31
- 2. Hassett, K. A., & Mathur, A. (2006). Taxes and Wages. American Enterprise Institute for Public Policy Research. https://www.aei.org/research-products/working-paper/taxes-and-wages/
- Felix, R. A. (2007). Passing the Burden: Corporate Tax Incidence in Open Economies. Federal Reserve Bank of Kansas City, Working Paper No. RWP 07-01. <u>https://www.kansascityfed.org/documents/118/regionalrwp-rrwp07-01.pdf</u>
- Arulampalam, W., Devereux, M. P., & Maffini, G. (2012). The Direct Incidence of Corporate Income Tax on Wages. European Economic Review, 56(6), 1038-1054. <u>https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S0014292112000346</u>
- 5. Suarez Serrato, J. C., & Zidar, O. (2016). Who Benefits from State Corporate Tax Cuts? A Local Labor Markets Approach with Heterogeneous Firms. American Economic Review, 106(9), 2582-2624. https://www.aeaweb.org/articles?id=10.1257/aer.20141702
- Gechert, S., & Heimberger, P. (2022). Do Corporate Tax Cuts Boost Economic Growth? European Economic Review, 145, 104114. <u>https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/abs/pii/S0014292122000510</u>
- 7. Alam, M. (2021). Output, Employment, and Price Effects of U.S. Narrative Tax Changes: A Factor-Augmented Vector Autoregression Approach. arXiv preprint arXiv:2106.10844. https://arxiv.org/abs/2106.10844

© 2025 IJMRSET | Volume 8, Issue 3, March 2025|

ISSN: 2582-7219| www.ijmrset.com | Impact Factor: 8.206 | ESTD Year: 2018 |International Journal of Multidisciplinary Research in<br/>Science, Engineering and Technology (IJMRSET)<br/>(A Monthly, Peer Reviewed, Refereed, Scholarly Indexed, Open Access Journal)

- Giroud, X., & Rauh, J. (2019). State Taxation and the Reallocation of Business Activity: Evidence from Establishment-Level Data. Journal of Political Economy, 127(3), 1262-1316. https://www.journals.uchicago.edu/doi/abs/10.1086/701809
- 9. Fuest, C., Peichl, A., & Siegloch, S. (2018). Do Higher Corporate Taxes Reduce Wages? Micro Evidence from Germany. American Economic Review, 108(2), 393-418. https://www.aeaweb.org/articles?id=10.1257/aer.20130570
- 10. Zidar, O. (2019). Tax Cuts for Whom? Heterogeneous Effects of Income Tax Changes on Growth and Employment. Journal of Political Economy, 127(3), 1437-1472. https://www.journals.uchicago.edu/doi/10.1086/701424





# INTERNATIONAL JOURNAL OF MULTIDISCIPLINARY RESEARCH IN SCIENCE, ENGINEERING AND TECHNOLOGY

| Mobile No: +91-6381907438 | Whatsapp: +91-6381907438 | ijmrset@gmail.com |

www.ijmrset.com